

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/687,589	10/20/2003		Byung-cheol Song	Q77338	2529		
23373	7590	10/19/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE 2100 PENNS		PLLC IA AVENUE, N.W.	RAO, ANAND SHASHIKANT				
SUITE 800	J. 2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
WASHING	ron, dc	20037	2621				

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applie	cation No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summa		37,589 	SONG ET AL.	
Onice Action Summa	- LAGIN		Art Unit	
The MAIL INO DATE of this are		S. Rao	2621	_
The MAILING DATE of this co. Period for Reply	mmunication appears on	n the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM T - Extensions of time may be available under the pr after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the If NO period for reply is specified above, the max - Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	THE MAILING DATE OF ovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n is communication. Improved the communication of the communic	THIS COMMUN no event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) M e application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status				
 1) ☐ Responsive to communication 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 3) ☐ Since this application is in conclosed in accordance with the 	2b)☐ This action dition for allowance exc	is non-final. ept for formal ma	• •	merits is
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-2, 4-7 is/are rejecte 7) Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to 10) The drawing(s) filed on in Applicant may not request that an Replacement drawing sheet(s) ince	_ is/are withdrawn from d. restriction and/or election by the Examiner. s/are: a) □ accepted on y objection to the drawing	on requirement. or b)⊡ objected t (s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	:R 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is object				• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a) All b) Some * c) None 1. Certified copies of the pr 2. Certified copies of the pr 3. Copies of the certified copies of the Inte * See the attached detailed Office	of: iority documents have to iority documents have to pies of the priority documents have to pies of the priority documents ional Bureau (PCT)	been received. been received in uments have bee Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application 	

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed on 8/11/06 with respect to claims 1-7 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 2. Claims 1-2, and 4 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wang et al., (US Patent 6,570,922 hereinafter referred to as "Wang"), as was set forth in the Office Action of 5/12/06.
- 3. Claims 5-7 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al., (US Patent 6,570,922 hereinafter referred to as "Wang") in view of Liu et al., (US Patent 6,959,042 hereinafter referred to as "Liu"), as was set forth in the Office Action of 5/12/06.
- 4. After summarizing formal matters and the current status of allowable subject matter (Amendment of 8/11/06: page 2, lines 1-11), the Applicant argues that Wang fails to meet "...determining a final quantization parameter..." as in the claims by further noting that the R variable doesn't sufficiently address this feature (Amendment of 8/11/06: page 2, lines 12-18; page 3, lines 1-10; page 7, lines 1-13). The Examiner respectfully disagrees. It is noted that since the rate information is updated, the quantization parameters assigned are changed to a determined final quantization parameter in accordance with the finally updated rate value (Wang: column 28, lines 45-52). Wang further discloses that the quantization parameters are processed (i.e. compared to a minimum quantization parameter) in order to set the target bit rates to with converge within a small margin (Wang: column 29, lines 10-15). Accordingly, the Examiner maintains that the limitation is met.

Art Unit: 2621

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually (Amendment of 8/11/06: page 3, lines 11-20; page 4, lines 1-8), one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). Liu doesn't have to make for the deficiencies of Wang as the Examiner has already shown that Wang meets the limitation.

Lastly, the Applicant argue that Liu fails to disclose estimating a movement vector and a SAD calculation in the claim (Amendment of 8/11/06: page 4, lines 9-20). The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Not only does Liu disclose the "interative process" of motion compensation, but the reference clearly discloses the generation of motion vectors (Wang: column 6, lines 35-40), and further the use of a standard SAD measure for motion compensation is suggested by the summing means in the motion compensation (Wang: column 6, lines 30-35). Accordingly, the Examiner maintains that the Liu meets the limitation.

Conclusion

- 5. Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 2621

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andy S. Rao whose telephone number is (571)-272-7337. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8 hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on (571)-272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Andy S. Rao Primary Examiner Art Unit 2621

ANDY BAO FRIMARY EXAMINER Application/Control Number: 10/687,589

Art Unit: 2621

asr

October 17, 2006

Page 5